## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

Plaintiff,  v.  Civil Action No. 3:22CV529  CERTAIN INTERESTED  )	
)	
)	
CERTAIN INTERESTED )	(RCY)
CERTAIN INTERESTED )	
UNDERWRITERS OF LLOYD'S )	
INSURANCE COMPANY, )	
Defendants.	

## ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 9), Plaintiff's Motion Seeking Leave to File Second Amended Complaint ("Motion for Leave") (ECF No. 13), the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R," ECF No. 31), and Defendant's Objection to the R&R (ECF No. 32).

For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, after *de novo* review, the Court OVERRULES Defendant's Objection (ECF No. 32), ADOPTS the R&R (ECF No. 31), and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 9) is GRANTED; and
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion Seeking Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 13) is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. Specifically, Plaintiff's Motion is CONDITIONALLY GRANTED as to Counts One, Four, and Five but DENIED with prejudice as to Counts Two and Three.

Because Plaintiff filed its Motion for Leave and Proposed Second Amended Complaint without the benefit of the Court's present findings, Plaintiff is DIRECTED to correct the deficiencies noted in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion and the Magistrate Judge's R&R before docketing its Second Amended Complaint. As this will in essence be Plaintiff's fourth bite

at the pleading-apple, the Court cautions that if Plaintiff is yet again unable to satisfy the pleading

requirements applicable to Counts Four and Five, the Court will be inclined to find any subsequent

motions for leave to amend futile.

Plaintiff shall have TWENTY-EIGHT (28) days from the entry of this Order to docket a

revised Second Amended Complaint incorporating Counts One, Four and Five in a manner

consistent with the Court's Memorandum Opinion. If Plaintiff fails to so file, this case will be

closed in accordance with the Court's ruling on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

It is so ORDERED.

Richmond, Virginia

Date: August 31, 2023

Roderick C. Young

United States District Judge

2